Galigge 070270

المملكة العربية السعودية الأمانة العامة لهيئة كيار العلماء

MADDE YAYIMLANDIKTI Y SONRA GELEN DOKUMAN



مجلة علمية دورية محكمة تشرف على إصدارها الأمانة العامة لهيئة كسار العلماء

البعدد (۱۲۰)

محرم، صفر، ربيع الأول، ربيع الآخر ١٤٤١ هـ

عنوان المراسلة: مجلة البحوث الإسلامية صندوق بريد (٢٢٥٧١) الرياض - الرمز البريدي (١١٤١٦) هاتف وفاكس إدارة المجلة - (١٩٥٧١٨٥٥) هاتف رئيس التحرير: (٠٠٩٦٦١١٤٥٧٧٨٠٠)

البريد الإلكتروني: islamic@ssa.gov.sa

صفحة المجلة في موقع الأمانة العامة لهيئة كبار العلماء http://www.ssa.gov.sa

0 1 Ekim 2020

دعوى جماعات الغلو اتباع المرجعيات المعتبرة (دراسة نقدية )

اعداد:

د. عبد الرحمن بن صالح بن إبراهيم الذيب

أستاذ مساعد بقسم الدراسات الإسلامية بكلية التربية يحامعة المحمعة

> 081 Kütüphanes

1.9-109

## MADDE YAYIMLANDIKTAN SONRA GELEN DOKÜMAN

IRAQ 070270 GĀLİYYE

311-314

groups in a strongly segmented society which recognized one another by a certain political principle, mostly by their view of history, and in times of upheaval could be won over to cooperate with one another — similarly as in present-day Beirut? Did the "head of the sects" have a following at all or is this simply an invention of the heresiographers who out of every Nu'aym immediately made a Nu'aymiyya, even if he had never existed. By means of a last example we wish to document how complex this can become.

2.1.3.2.3.1 The Kāmiliyya

The heresiographers frequently mention a so-called Kāmiliyya.¹ They were difficult to classify; because allegedly they had not only dissociated themselves from Abū Bakr and 'Umar but also from 'Alī. For this reason, they seemed neither to belong to the Sunnīs nor to the Shī'ites; they had considered, so one could say, all the Muslims, with the exception of the Prophet, to be "unbelievers". This rather exaggerated formulation went back to Jāḥiz; but later it turns up everywhere. In reality, the situation was not so uniformly bad: the Kāmiliyya viewed Abū Bakr and 'Umar as usurpers; on the other hand, what displeased them about 'Alī is that he had put up with the affront. By not venturing to do something against the agreement in the <code>saqīfa</code> of the Banū Sāʿida, he had committed an error (<code>akhṭaʾa</code>); later he had made up for this when he took up arms against Muʻāwiya. Obviously, the caliphate was legitimately allotted to him.

This doctrine still circulated within the Zaydiyya. An Imam must show he is active; 'Alī had been lacking in this respect. But the extreme and polemical formulation of this view won such authority through Jāḥiẓ and occurred so early on that the heresiographers no longer understood the true context. Just as with the previously mentioned groups, the name no longer signified anything for them. Many still knew that the Kāmiliyya went back to a certain Abū Kāmil; but that with this *kunya* a certain Muʻādh b. al-Ḥuṣayn al-Nabhānī from Kūfa was meant, is only found once in an obscure place² and nowhere did it find its way into the heresiographical tradition.³ Muʻādh b. al-Ḥuṣayn has not left any trace behind either in the Shīʻite or in the Sunnī biographical

<sup>1</sup> Ash'arī Maq. 17, ll. 4 ff.; Ibn Ḥazm, Fiṣal IV, 96, ll. 2 ff. from bot. > Saksakī, Burhān 42, ll. 5 ff.; Baghdādī, Farq 39, ll. 3 ff./54, ll. 1 ff. and Uṣūl al-dīn 279, ll. 1 f.; 286, ll. 6 f.; 332, ll. 3 f.; Shahrastānī 133, ll. 8 ff./368, ll. 1 ff.; Şafadī, Nakt al-himyān 127, ll. 4 ff.; Nashwān, Ḥūr 155, ll. 5 ff. In what follows I base myself on what I have said in: WI 28/1988/141 ff.; all the additional evidence is found there.

<sup>2</sup> In Qādī 'Abd al-Jabbār, Tathbīt 201, ll. 1 f.

<sup>3</sup> Not even in the case of the Qāḍī himself who in a heresiographical excursus in the  $Mughn\bar{i}$  once again simply presents the form  $Ab\bar{u}$   $K\bar{a}mil$  ( $xx_2$ , 176, ll. 10 ff.).